



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,835	06/29/2001	James A. Worsham	60027.0011US01/BS01032 5869	
39262	7590 05/10/2004		EXAMINER	
BELLSOUTI P.O. BOX 290	H CORPORATION		NGUYEN,	DAVID Q
	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2681	g
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

			<b></b> A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/1/
	09/896,835	WORSHAM ET AL.	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Q Nguyen	2681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 A</u>	Anril 2004		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits	e ie
closed in accordance with the practice under  Disposition of Claims			<b>5</b> 15
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	<b>1.</b>		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	٠
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		ition).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been rec	ceived.	.,.
Attachment(s)	10 priority under 00 0.0.0, 33 120	, and/or 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/896,835

Art Unit: 2681

### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serbetcioglu et al. (WO 95/12948) in view of Rhodes (US 6343120).

Regarding claim 1, Serbetcioglu discloses in a telecommunications system comprising an advanced intelligent network (AIN) wireline network connected to a wireless network, a method for providing an audio calling name and number feature to a wireless telephone in association with a telephone call from a calling party telephone to the wireless telephone, the method comprising the steps of: sending a message from an originating service switching point (SSP) associated with the calling party telephone to a terminating mobile switching center (MSC) associated with the wireless telephone, wherein the message comprises the directory number of the calling party telephone; tripping a wireless trigger at the terminating MSC and, in response, sending a query to a service control point (SCP); querying a home location register (HLR) associated with the wireless telephone to determine whether the wireless telephone is available;

Art Unit: 2681

if the wireless telephone is available, then forwarding a name and a number associated with the calling party telephone to a service node; placing a call from the service node to the wireless telephone; and playing an audio annunciation of the name and the number (see page 9, line 10 page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 page 30, line2).

Serbetcioglu is silent to disclose the directory number of the calling party telephone from a database of subscriber names associated with directory numbers. However, Rhodes discloses the directory number of the calling party telephone from a database of subscriber names associated with directory numbers (see col. 4, lines 5-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Rhodes to Serbetcioglu so that the system provides a capability for calling parties to provide alias information to a called party in lieu of merely identifying the calling party by some expression such as private or anonymous.

Regarding claim 2, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the name and the number are retrieved from a database by the SCP (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 3, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose determining that the called party has rejected the call; and dropping the outgoing call and playing an announcement to the calling party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 4, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the rejection is an audible rejection from the called party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 5, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose wherein the rejection comprises a keypad input from the keypad of the wireless telephone (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 6, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose determining that the called party has accepted the call; and connecting the call to the wireless telephone (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 7, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the acceptance is an audible rejection from the called party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 8, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the acceptance comprises a keypad input from the keypad of the wireless telephone (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 9, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose determining that the called party has sent the call to voicemail; and connecting the call to the voicemail service of the wireless telephone (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 10, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the sending is an audible order from the called party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 11, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the sending comprises a keypad input from the keypad of the wireless telephone (see page 9, line 10

Art Unit: 2681

-page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 12, Serbetcioglu et al disclose an audio calling name method for audibly announcing to a called party a calling name of a calling party in an Advanced Intelligent Network (AIN) in association with a call from the calling party to the called party, wherein the AIN includes a switch, a service node, and a service control point (SCP), wherein the service control point is functionally connected to the switch and the service circuit node, wherein the service control point includes a database of subscriber information including a list of calling names associated with directory numbers, and wherein the audio calling name method comprises the steps of: sending the calling party number in a message to a terminating mobile switching center (MSC) associated with a wireless telephone of the called party; sending a query from the MSC to the SCP; determining, at the SCP, the calling name associated with the calling party number; forwarding the calling name and calling party number to the service node; placing an outgoing call from the service node to the called party directory number; and playing an announcement to the calling party, wherein the announcement comprises an audible annunciation of the calling name associated with the calling party number (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line2).

Serbetcioglu et al are silent to disclose the calling party number obtained from the database. However, Rhodes discloses the calling party number obtained from the database (see col. 4, lines 5-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Rhodes to Serbetcioglu so that the system provides a capability for calling parties to provide alias information to a called party in lieu of

Art Unit: 2681

merely identifying the calling party by some expression such as private or anonymous.

Regarding claim 13, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the announcement further comprises an audible announcement of the calling party number (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 14, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose determining whether the wireless telephone of the called party is available by querying a home location register (HLR) associated with the wireless telephone and if not, then playing a busy signal to the calling party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 15, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the announcement further comprises a list of possible ways for the called party to direct the call from the service node (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 16, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the list comprises a rejection choice which allows the called party to reject the call and wherein a signal will be transmitted to the calling party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 17, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose the list comprises an acceptance choice which allows the called party to answer the call and wherein a call will be connected between the calling party and the called party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

Regarding claim 18, the method of Serbetcioglu et al in view of Rhodes also disclose

Art Unit: 2681

the list comprises a voicemail choice which allows the called party to reject the call and wherein the calling party will be connected with the voicemail service for the called party (see page 9, line 10 -page 15, line 24; page 27, line 16 -page 30, line 2 of Serbetcioglu).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-605-4254. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika A Gary can be reached on 703-308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

David Nguyen

ERIKA GARY